UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/773,486	02/06/2004	Willy Maurice Verbestel	555255012562	6813		
John V. Biemac	7590 04/15/200 cki, Esq.	EXAMINER				
Jones Day	-	SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A				
Cleveland, OH	venue/North Point 44114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2454			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/15/2009	PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/773,486	VERBESTEL, WILLY MAURICE			
Examiner	Art Unit			
MOHAMMAD A. SIDDIQI	2454			

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with t	the c	orrespondence ad	dress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>26 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. ⊠ T a	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affice	e of <i>A</i> davit	Appeal. To avoid aba , or other evidence,	which places the
fo	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in complian or Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be fi periods:			
a) <u>L</u>	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
b) 🔼	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the m Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing	date of the final rejec	tion.
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	7 4 40	20(-)	-1
have be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFF een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amoust CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ount c origir	of the fee. The approp nally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
	CE OF APPEAL			
fi	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must iling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in	)), to	avoid dismissal of t	
<u>AMENI</u>	<u>DMENTS</u>			
(a	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a b a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			ecause
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially	v red	lucina or simplifvina	the issues for
`	appeal; and/or	,	3 , 3	
(0	d) $\square$ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally	/ reje	cted claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non	n-Cor	npliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
n	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separation-allowable claim(s).		•	-
h	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	] will	be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .			
C	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23,26-27</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	- NI-	41 <b>6</b>	-
b	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affi was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appropriate the afficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented	ppea	l and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after	er en	try is below or attac	hed.
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the all because:	pplic	ation in condition fo	r allowance
	In response to applicant's argument that Sarkkinen does not disclose "sending from the over a network" Examiner respectfully disagrees. Sarkkinen discloses the broadcast entity (user device), however, the input parameters for ciphering the broadcast key is se registration (para #0028) and stored at the user device (para #0032). Generating a mul	:/mult ent to	icast key is decrypt o user device at the	ed at the user time of
	key which is stored in the user device to decrypt the multicast/broadcast key. Therefore			
40 107	user device a broadcast key (para #0025)  Note the attached information Displaceure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/98) Papar No/s), 02/9	)E/00	.00	
	Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>03/0</u> Other:	<i>)</i> 5/20	<u>108</u>	
_				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Nathan J. Flynn/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2454

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090412

Application No.